CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

RESOLUTION NO. R5-2004-XXXX

AMENDING THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR
THE SACRAMENTO RIVER AND SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASINS FOR
THE CONTROL OF SALT AND BORON DISCHARGES INTO THE LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER

WHEREAS, in 1975 the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (hereafter Regional Board) adopted the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins (hereafter Basin Plan), which has been amended occasionally; and

WHEREAS, the Basin Plan may be amended in accordance with California Water Code Section 13240, et seq.; and

WHEREAS, California Water Code Section 13242 requires the Regional Board to establish a program for implementation for achieving water quality objectives; and

WHEREAS, the Lower San Joaquin River from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis (hereafter LSJR) has been identified under the federal Clean Water Act Section 303(d) as an impaired waterbody due to salt and boron; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Board recognizes that the Basin Plan does not include a plan to control discharge of salt and boron in the LSJR; therefore, a Basin Plan amendment is appropriate; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment modifies Basin Plan Chapter I (Introduction) to define geographic subareas of the LSJR Basin; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment modifies Basin Plan Chapter IV (Implementation) to establish a control program for salt and boron in the LSJR, including the loading capacity and allocation requirements of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL); and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment requires entities responsible for the point and nonpoint sources of salt and boron to reduce their discharge of these substances or participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Board has considered the costs of implementing the proposed amendment, and finds these costs to be reasonable relative to the water quality benefits to be derived from implementing the proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, Regional Board staff developed a draft staff report and draft Basin Plan Amendment for external scientific peer review in November 2003 in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 57004 and the draft staff report and amendment have been changed to conform to the recommendations of the peer reviewers or staff has provided an explanation of why no change was made; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Board has determined that the scientific portions of the Basin Plan Amendment are based on sound scientific knowledge, methods, and practices in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 57004; and

WHEREAS, Regional Board staff held a public workshop and scoping meeting on 16 September 2002 to identify any significant issues that must be considered, and the Regional Board held public workshops on 5 December 2003 and 30 January 2004 to receive comments on the draft staff report and draft Basin Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Regional Board staff has circulated a Notice of Public Hearing, Notice of Filing, a written staff report, an environmental checklist, and a draft proposed amendment to interested individuals and public agencies, including persons having special expertise with regard to the environmental effects involved with the proposed amendment, for review and comment in accordance with state and federal environmental regulations (23 CCR Section 3775, 40 CFR 25, and 40 CFR 131); and

WHEREAS, the Regional Board held a public hearing on 10 September 2004, for the purpose of receiving testimony on the proposed Basin Plan amendment. Notice of the public hearing was sent to all interested persons and published in accordance with California Water Code Section 13244; and

WHEREAS, the basin planning process has been certified as "functionally equivalent" to the CEQA requirements for preparing environmental documents and is, therefore, exempt from those requirements (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, Regional Board staff completed an environmental checklist and functional equivalent document in compliance with the provisions of CEQA that concluded that the proposed amendment will have no potential for adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on wildlife or the environment; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Board concurs with staff's conclusion that the proposed amendment will have no potential for adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on wildlife or the environment; and

WHEREAS, a Basin Plan amendment must be approved by the State Water Resources Control Board, the Office of Administrative Law, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency before becoming effective; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment will not result in degradation of the San Joaquin River water quality and maintains the level of water quality necessary to protect existing and anticipated beneficial uses; and

WHEREAS, this regulatory action meets the "Necessity" standard of the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, section 11353, subdivision (b):

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that, pursuant to Sections 13240, et seq. of the California Water Code, the Regional Board, after considering the entire record, including oral testimony at the hearing, hereby adopts an amendment to the Basin Plan to establish a control program for salt and boron discharges into the LSJR, including the loading capacity and allocation requirements of a Total Maximum Daily Load, as set forth in Attachment 1; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Executive Officer is directed to forward copies of the Basin Plan amendment to the State Water Resources Control Board in accordance with the requirements of Section 13245 of the California Water Code; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Regional Board requests that the State Water Resources Control Board approve the Basin Plan amendment in accordance with the requirements of Sections 13245 and 13246 of the California Water Code and forward it to the Office of Administrative Law and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and be it further

RESOLVED, that, if during its approval process the State Water Resources Control Board, or Office of Administrative Law, or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency determines that minor, non-substantive corrections to the language of the amendment are needed for clarity or consistency, the Executive Officer may make such changes, and shall inform the Regional Board of any such changes; and be it further

RESOLVED, the Executive Officer is authorized to sign a Certificate of Fee Exemption and following approval of the Basin Plan amendment by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency submit this Certificate in lieu of payment of the Department of Fish and Game filing fee to the Secretary for Resources; and be it further

RESOLVED, following approval of the Basin Plan amendment by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Executive Officer shall file a Notice of Decision with the State Clearinghouse.

I, THOMAS R. PINKOS, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the forgoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 10 September 2004.

THOMAS R. PINKOS, Executive Office

Following are experts from Basin Plan Chapters I and IV shown similar to how they will appear after the proposed amendment is adopted. Deletions are indicated as strike-through text (deleted text) and additions are shown as underlined text (added text). Italicized text (*Notation Text*) is included to locate where the modifications will be made in the Basin Plan. All other text changes are shown accurately, however, formatting and pagination will change.

Under the Chapter I heading: "Basin Description on page IV-28, make the following changes:

This Basin Plan covers the entire area included in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River drainage basins (see maps in pocket* and Figure II-1). The basins are bound by the crests of the Sierra Nevada on the east and the Coast Range and Klamath Mountains on the west. They extend some 400 miles from the California - Oregon border southward to the headwaters of the San Joaquin River.

*NOTE: The planning boundary between the San Joaquin River Basin and the Tulare Lake Basin follows-the northern boundary of Little Panoche Creek basin the southern watershed boundaries of the Little Panoche Creek, Moreno Gulch, and Capita Canyon to boundary of the Westlands Water District. From here, the boundary follows the northern edge of the Westlands Water District until its intersection with the Firebuagh Canal Company's Main Lift Canal. The basin boundary then follows the Main Lift Canal to the Mendota Pool and continues eastward along the channel of the San Joaquin River to Millerton Lake in the Sierra Nevada foothills, and then follows along the southern boundary of the San Joaquin River drainage basin.

The Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins cover about one fourth of the total area of the State and over 30% of the State's irrigable land. The Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers furnish roughly 51% of the State's water supply. Surface water from the two drainage basins meet and form the Delta, which ultimately drains to San Francisco Bay. Two major water projects, the Federal Central Valley Project and the State Water Project, deliver water from the Delta to Southern California, the San Joaquin Valley, Tulare Lake Basin, the San Francisco Bay area, as well as within the Delta boundaries.

The Delta is a maze of river channels and diked islands covering roughly 1,150 square miles, including 78 square miles of water area. The legal boundary of the Delta is described in Section 12220 of the Water Code (also see Figure III-1 of this Basin Plan).

Ground water is defined as subsurface water that occurs beneath the ground surface in fully saturated zones within soils and other geologic formations. Where ground water occurs in a saturated geologic unit that contains sufficient permeability and thickness to yield significant quantities of water to wells or springs, it can be defined as an aquifer (USGS, Water Supply Paper 1988, 1972). A ground water basin is defined as a hydrogeologic unit containing one large aquifer or several connected and interrelated aquifers (Todd, *Groundwater Hydrology*, 1980).

Major ground water basins underlie both valley floors, and there are scattered smaller basins in the foothill areas and mountain valleys. In many parts of the Region, usable ground waters occur outside of these currently identified basins. There are water-bearing geologic units within ground water basins in the Region that do not meet the definition of an aquifer. Therefore, for basin planning and regulatory purposes, the term "ground water" includes all subsurface waters that occur in fully saturated zones and fractures within soils and other geologic formations, whether or not these waters meet the definition of an aquifer or occur within identified ground water basins.

Sacramento River Basin

The Sacramento River Basin covers 27,210 square miles and includes the entire area drained by the Sacramento River. For planning purposes, this includes all watersheds tributary to the Sacramento River that are north of the Consumnes River watershed. It also includes the closed basin of Goose Lake and drainage sub-basins of Cache and Putah Creeks.

The principal streams are the Sacramento River and its larger tributaries: the Pit, Feather, Yuba, Bear, and American Rivers to the east; and Cottonwood, Stony, Cache, and Putah Creeks to the west. Major reservoirs and lakes include Shasta, Oroville, Folsom, Clear Lake, and Lake Berryessa.

DWR Bulletin 118-80 identifies 63 ground water basins in the Sacramento watershed area. The Sacramento Valley floor is divided into 2 ground water basins. Other basins are in the foothills or mountain valleys. There are areas other than those identified in the DWR Bulletin with ground waters that have beneficial uses.

San Joaquin River Basin

The San Joaquin River Basin covers 15,880 square miles and includes the entire area drained by the San Joaquin River. It includes all watersheds tributary to the San Joaquin River and the Delta south of the

Sacramento River and south of the American River watershed. The southern planning boundary is described in the first paragraph of the previous page.

The principal streams in the basin are the San Joaquin River and its larger tributaries: the Consumnes, Mokelumne, Calaveras, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Merced, Chowchilla, and Fresno Rivers. Major reservoirs and lakes include Padre, New Hogan, Millerton, McClure, Don Pedro, and New Melones.

DWR Bulletin 118-80 identifies 39 ground water basins in the San Joaquin watershed area. The San Joaquin Valley floor is divided into 15 separate ground water basins, largely based on political considerations. Other basins are in the foothills or mountain valleys. There are areas other than those identified in the DWR Bulletin with ground waters that have beneficial uses.

Grassland Watershed

The Grassland watershed is a valley floor sub-basin of the San Joaquin River Basin. The portion of the watershed for which agricultural subsurface drainage policies and regulations apply covers an area of approximately 370,000 acres, and is bounded on the north by the alluvial fan of Orestimba Creek and by the Tulare Lake Basin to the south. The San Joaquin River forms the eastern boundary and Interstate Highway 5 forms the approximate western boundary. The San Joaquin River forms a wide flood plain in the region of the Grassland watershed.

The hydrology of the watershed has been irreversibly altered due to water projects, and is presently governed by land uses. These uses are primarily managed wetlands and agriculture. The wetlands form important waterfowl habitat for migratory waterfowl using the Pacific Flyway. The alluvial fans of the western and southern portions of the watershed contain salts and selenium, which can be mobilized through irrigation practices, and can impact beneficial uses of surface waters and wetlands if not properly regulated.

Lower San Joaquin River Watershed and Subareas

Technical descriptions of the Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) and its component subareas are contained in Appendix 41. General descriptions follow: The LSJR watershed encompasses approximately 4,580 square miles in Merced County and portions of Fresno, Madera, San Joaquin, and Stanislaus counties. For planning purposes, the LSJR watershed is defined as the area draining to the San Joaquin River downstream of the Mendota Dam and upstream of the Airport Way

Bridge near Vernalis, excluding the areas upstream of dams on the major Eastside reservoirs: New Don Pedro, New Melones, Lake McClure, and similar Eastside reservoirs in the LSJR system. The LSJR watershed excludes all lands within Calaveras, Tuolumne, San Benito, and Mariposa Counties. The LSJR watershed has been subdivided into seven major sub areas. In some cases major subareas have been further subdivided into minor subareas to facilitate more effective and focused water quality planning (Table I-1).

Table I-1 Lower San Joaquin River Subareas

	Major Subareas		Minor Subareas
1	LSJR upstream of Salt	<u>1a</u>	Bear Creek
1	Slough	<u>1b</u>	Fresno-Chowchilla
<u>2</u>	<u>Grassland</u>		<u></u>
		<u>3a</u>	Northeast Bank
2	East Valley Floor	<u>3b</u>	North Stanislaus
<u>3</u>	East valley Floor	<u>3c</u>	<u>Stevinson</u>
		<u>3d</u>	Turlock Area
		<u>4a</u>	Greater Orestimba
<u>4</u>	Northwest Side	<u>4b</u>	Westside Creeks
		<u>4c</u>	Vernalis North
<u>5</u>	Merced River	_	<u></u>
<u>6</u>	Tuolumne River		<u></u>
7	Stanislaus River		<u></u>

1. Lower San Joaquin River upstream of Salt Slough

This subarea drains approximately 1,480 square miles on the east side of the LSJR upstream of the Salt Slough confluence. The subarea includes the portions of the Bear Creek, Chowchilla River and Fresno River watersheds that are contained within Merced and Madera Counties. The northern boundary of the subarea generally abuts the Merced River Watershed. The western and southern boundaries follow the San Joaquin River from the Lander Avenue Bridge to Friant, except for the lands within the Columbia Canal Company, which are excluded. Columbia Canal Company lands are included in the Grassland Subarea. This subarea is composed of the following drainage areas:

1a. Bear Creek (effective drainage area)

This minor subarea is a 620 square mile subset of lands within the LSJR upstream of Salt Slough Subarea. The Bear Creek Minor Subarea is predominantly comprised of the portion of the Bear Creek Watershed that is contained within Merced County.

1b. Fresno-Chowchilla

The Fresno-Chowchilla Minor Subarea is comprised of approximately 860 square miles of land within the southern portion of the LSJR upstream of Salt Slough Subarea. This minor subarea is located in southeastern Merced County and western Madera County and contains the land area that drains into the LSJR between Sack Dam and the Bear Creek confluence, including the drainages of the Fresno and Chowchilla Rivers.

2. Grassland

The Grassland Subarea drains approximately 1,370 square miles on the west side of the LSJR in portions of Merced, Stanislaus, and Fresno Counties. This subarea includes the Mud Slough, Salt Slough, and Los Banos Creek watersheds. The eastern boundary of this subarea is generally formed by the LSJR between the Merced River confluence and the Mendota Dam. The Grassland Subarea extends across the LSJR, into the east side of the San Joaquin Valley, to include the lands within the Columbia Canal Company. The western boundary of the subarea generally follows the crest of the Coast Range with the exception of lands within San Benito County, which are excluded.

3. East Valley Floor

This subarea includes approximately 413 square miles of land on the east side of the LSJR that drains directly to the LSJR between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the Salt Slough confluence. The subarea is largely comprised of the land between the major east-side drainages of the Tuolumne, Stanislaus, and Merced Rivers. This subarea lies within central Stanislaus County and north-central Merced County. Numerous drainage canals, including the Harding Drain and natural drainages, drain this subarea. The subarea is comprised of the following minor subareas:

3a. Northeast Bank

This minor subarea of the East Valley Floor contains all of the land draining the east side of the San Joaquin River between the Maze Boulevard Bridge and the Crows Landing Road Bridge, except for the Tuolumne River subarea. The Northeast Bank covers approximately 123 square miles in central Stanislaus County.

3b. North Stanislaus

The North Stanislaus minor subarea is a subset of lands within the East Valley Floor Subarea. This minor subarea drains approximately 68 square miles of land between the Stanislaus and Tuolumne River watersheds that flows into the San Joaquin River between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the Maze Boulevard Bridge.

3c. Stevinson

This minor subarea of the East Valley Floor contains all of the land draining to the LSJR between the Merced River confluence and the Lander Avenue (Highway 165) Bridge. The Stevinson Minor Subarea occupies approximately 44 square miles in north-central Merced County.

3d. Turlock Area

This minor subarea of the East Valley Floor contains all of the land draining to the LSJR between the Crows Landing Road Bridge and the Merced River confluence. The Turlock Area Minor Subarea occupies approximately 178 square miles in south-central Stanislaus County and northern Merced County.

4. Northwest Side

This 574 square mile area generally includes the lands on the West side of the LSJR between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the Newman Waste way confluence. This subarea includes the entire drainage area of Orestimba, Del Puerto, and Hospital/Ingram Creeks. The subarea is primarily located in Western Stanislaus County except for a small area that extends into Merced County near the town of Newman and the Central California Irrigation District Main Canal.

4a. Greater Orestimba

The Greater Orestimba Minor Subarea is a 285 square mile subset of the Northwest Side Subarea located in southwest Stanislaus County and a small portion of western Merced County. It contains the entire Orestimba Creek watershed and the remaining area that drains into the LSJR from the west between the Crows Landing Road Bridge and the confluence of the Merced River, including Little Salad and Crow Creeks.

4b. Westside Creeks

This Minor Subarea is comprised of 277 square miles of the Northwest Side Subarea in western Stanislaus County. It consists of the areas that drain into the west side of the San Joaquin River between Maze Boulevard and Crows Landing Road, including the drainages of Del Puerto, Hospital, and Ingram Creeks.

4c. Vernalis North

The Vernalis North Minor Subarea is a 12 square mile subset of land within the most northern portion of the Northwest Side Subarea. It contains the land draining to the San Joaquin River from the west between the Maze Boulevard Bridge and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis.

5. Merced River

This 294 square mile subarea is comprised of the Merced River watershed downstream of the Merced-Mariposa county line and upstream of the River Road Bridge. The Merced River subarea includes a 13-square-mile "island" of land (located between the East Valley Floor and the Tuolumne River Subareas) that is hydrologically connected to the Merced River by the Highline Canal.

6. Tuolumne River

This 294 square mile subarea is comprised of the Tuolumne River watershed downstream of the Stanislaus-Tuolumne county line, including the drainage of Turlock Lake, and upstream of the Shiloh Road Bridge.

7. Stanislaus River

This 157 square mile subarea is comprised of the Stanislaus River watershed downstream of the Stanislaus-Calaveras county line and upstream of Caswell State Park.

Skip to Chapter IV: Implementation

Under the Chapter IV heading: "Recommended for Implementation by the State Water Board" add new sub-heading and items on page IV-28:

Salt and Boron in the Lower San Joaquin River

- 1. The State Water Board should consider the continued use of its water rights authority to prohibit water transfers if the transfer contributes to low flows and related salinity water quality impairment in the Lower San Joaquin River.
- 2. The State Water Board should consider the continued conditioning of water rights on the attainment of existing and new water quality objectives for salinity in the Lower San Joaquin River, when these objectives cannot be met through discharge controls alone.

Under the Chapter IV heading: "Continuous Planning For Implementation Of Water Quality Control" and subheading "Agricultural Drainage Discharges in the San Joaquin River Basin" on page IV-30, make the following changes:

Water quality in the San Joaquin River has degraded significantly since the late 1940s. During this period, salt concentrations in the River, near Vernalis, have doubled. Concentrations of boron, selenium, molybdenum and other trace elements have also increased. These increases are primarily due to reservoir development on the east side tributaries and upper basin for agricultural development, the use of poorer quality, higher salinity, Delta water in lieu of San Joaquin River water on west side agricultural lands and drainage from upslope saline soils on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley. Point source discharges to surface waters only contribute a small fraction of the total salt and boron loads in the San Joaquin River.

The water quality degradation in the River was identified in the 1975 Basin Plan and the Lower San Joaquin River was classified as a Water Quality Limited Segment. At that time, it was envisioned that a Valley-wide Drain would be developed and these subsurface drainage water flows would then be discharged outside the Basin, thus improving River water quality. However, present day development is looking more toward a regional solution to the drainage water discharge problem rather than a valley-wide drain.

Because of the need to manage salt and other pollutants in the River, the Regional Water Board began developing a Regional Drainage Water Disposal Plan for the Basin. The development began in FY 87/88 when Basin Plan amendments were considered by the Water Board in FY 88/89. The amendment development process included review of beneficial uses, establishment of water quality objectives, and preparation of a regulatory plan, including a full implementation plan. The regulatory plan emphasized achieving objectives through reductions in drainage volumes and pollutant loads through best management practices and other on-farm methods. Additional regulatory steps will be considered based on achievements of water quality goals and securing of adequate resources.

The <u>88/89</u> amendment emphasized toxic elements in subsurface drainage discharges. The Regional Water Board however still recognizes salt management as the most serious long-term issue on the San Joaquin River. Salinity impairment in the Lower San Joaquin River remains a persistent problem as salinity water quality objectives continue to be exceeded. The Regional Board adopted the following control program for salt and boron in the Lower San Joaquin River to address salt and boron impairment and to bring the river into compliance with water quality objectives. Additionally, <u>t</u>The Regional Water Board will continue as an active

participant in the San Joaquin River Management Program implementation phase, as authorized by AB 3048, to promote salinity management schemes including timed discharge releases, real time monitoring and source control.

Under the Chapter IV heading: "Continuous Planning For Implementation Of Water Quality Control" and after item 16 of the subheading "Agricultural Drainage Discharges in the San Joaquin River Basin" on page IV-32, add the following text:

Control program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR)

The goal of the salt and boron control program is to achieve compliance with salt and boron water quality objectives without restricting the ability of dischargers to export salt out of the San Joaquin River basin.

For the purpose of this control program, nonpoint source land uses include all irrigated lands and nonpoint source discharges are discharges from irrigated lands.

Irrigated lands are lands where water is applied for producing crops and, for the purpose of this control program, includes, but is not limited to, land planted to row, field and tree crops as well as commercial nurseries, nursery stock production, managed wetlands, and rice production.

This control program is phased to allow for implementation of existing water quality objectives, while providing the framework and timeline for implementing future water quality objectives.

The salt and boron control program establishes salt load limits to achieve compliance at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis with salt and boron water quality objectives for the LSJR. The Regional Board establishes a method for determining the maximum allowable salt loading to the LSJR. Load allocations are established for nonpoint sources and waste load allocations are established for point sources.

Load allocations to specific dischargers or groups of dischargers are proportionate to the area of nonpoint source land use contributing to the discharge.

Control actions that result in salt load reductions will be effective in the control of boron.

The salt and boron control program establishes timelines for: 1) developing and adopting salt and boron

water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River upstream of the Airport Way Bridges near Vernalis; 2) a control program to achieve these objectives; and 3) developing and adopting a groundwater control program.

Per the amendment to the Basin Plan for control of salt and boron discharges into the lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) basin, approved by the Regional Board in Resolution No. 2004-xx and incorporated herein, the Regional Board will take the following actions, as necessary and appropriate, to implement this control program:

- 1. The Regional Board shall use waivers of waste discharge requirements or waste discharge requirements to apportion load allocations to each of the following seven geographic subareas that comprise the LSJR:
 - a. San Joaquin River Upstream of Salt Slough
 - b. Grassland
 - c. Northwest Side
 - d. East Valley Floor
 - e. Merced River
 - f. Tuolumne River
 - g. Stanislaus River

These subareas are described in Chapter 1 and in more detail in Appendix 41.

- 2. <u>Dischargers of irrigation return flows from irrigated lands are in compliance with this control program if they meet any of the following conditions:</u>
 - a. Cease discharge to surface water
 - b. <u>Discharge does not exceed 315µS/cm</u> electrical conductivity (based on a 30-day running average)
 - c. Operate under waste discharge requirements that include effluent limits for salt
 - d. Operate under a waiver of waste discharge requirements for salt and boron discharges to the LSJR
- 3. The Regional Board will adopt a waiver of waste discharge requirements for salinity management, or incorporate into an existing agricultural waiver, the conditions required to participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program.

 Load allocations for nonpoint source dischargers participating in a Regional Board approved real-time management program are described in table

- IV-8. Additional conditions include use of Regional Board approved methods to measure and report flow and electrical conductivity. Participation in a Regional Board approved realtime management program and attainment of salinity and boron water quality objectives will constitute compliance with this control program.
- 4. The Regional Board will adopt waste discharge requirements with fixed monthly base load allocations specified as effluent limits for nonpoint source discharges that do not meet conditions specified in a waiver of waste discharge requirements for salinity management. Entities operating under WDRs or that will be required to operate under WDRs in order to comply with other programs, may participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program if they meet conditions specified in a waiver of WDRs for salinity management, as described in item 3.
- Fixed monthly base load allocations and the method use to calculate real-time load allocations are specified in Table IV-8.
- 6. Waste Load Allocations are established for point sources of salt in the basin. NPDES permitted discharges will not exceed the salinity water quality objectives established for the LSJR at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis. The Regional Board will revise NPDES permits to incorporate TMDL allocations when the permits are renewed or reopened at the discretion of the Regional Board.
- 7. Supply water credits are established for irrigators that receive supply water from the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC) or the LSJR between the confluence of the Merced River and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis as described in Table IV-8.
- 8. Supply water Load Allocations are established for salts in irrigation water imported to the LSJR

 Watershed from the Sacramento/San Joaquin River
 Delta as described in Table IV-8.

The Regional Board will attempt to enter into a Management Agency Agreement (MAA) with State Water Resources Control Board and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to address salt imports from the DMC to the LSJR watershed. The MAA shall include provisions requiring the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to:

- a. Meet DMC load allocations; or
- b. <u>Provide mitigation and/or dilution flows to</u> create additional assimilative capacity for salt

in the LSJR equivalent to DMC salt loads in excess of their allocation

The Regional Board shall request a report of waste discharge from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to address DMC discharges if a MAA is not established within 2 years from the effective date of this control program.

- 9. The Regional Board will review and update the load allocations and waste load allocations every 6 years from effective date of this control program. Any changes to waste load allocations and/or load allocations can be made through subsequent amendment to this control program. Changes to load allocations will be implemented through revisions of the applicable waste discharge requirements or waivers of waste discharge requirements. Changes to waste load allocations will be implemented through revisions of the applicable NPDES permits.
- 10. The Regional Board encourages real-time water quality management and pollutant trading of waste load allocations, load allocations, and supply water allocations as a means for attaining salt and boron water quality objectives while maximizing the export of salts out of the LSJR watershed. This control program shall in no way preclude basin-wide stakeholder efforts to attain salinity water quality objectives in the LSJR so long as such efforts are consistent with the control program.
- 11. The established waste load allocations, load allocations, and supply water allocations represent a maximum allowable level. The Regional Board may take other actions or require additional reductions in salt and boron loading to protect beneficial uses
- 12. Salt loads in water discharged into the LSJR or its tributaries for the express purpose of providing dilution flow are not subject to load limits described in this control program if the discharge:
 - a. complies with salinity water quality objectives for the LSJR at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis;
 - b. is not a discharge from irrigated lands; and
 - c. is not provided as a water supply to be consumptively used upstream of the San Joaquin River at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis.
- 13. Entities providing dilution flows, as described in item 12, will obtain an allocation equal to the salt load assimilative capacity provided by this flow.

 This dilution flow allocation can be used to:

 1) offset salt loads discharged by this entity in

excess of any allocation or; 2) trade, as described in item 10. The additional dilution flow allocation provided by dilution flows will be calculated as described in table IV-8.

14. It is anticipated that salinity and boron water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis will be developed and considered for adoption in the second phase of this TMDL, according to time schedule in Table IV-5.

Table IV-5: Schedule for developing water quality objectives for salt and boron in the LSJR from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis

1-	
Milestone	<u>Date</u>
Staff report on criteria needed	October 2004
to protect beneficial uses	
Staff report and Regional	June 2005
Board workshop on water	
quality objectives that can	
reasonably be achieved	
Draft second phase TMDL	September 2005
with water quality objectives	
and program of	
implementation for LSJR	
from Mendota Dam to	
Airport Way Bridge near	
<u>Vernalis</u>	
Board Hearing for	<u>June 2006</u>
consideration of adoption	

- 15. Salinity and boron water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis will be implemented using the implementation framework described in this 'Control Program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River' or other implementation mechanisms, as appropriate.
- 16. A groundwater control program for sources of salt discharges into the LSJR will be developed by June 2020 if water quality objectives in the LSJR are not being attained.

Implementation Priority

17. The Regional Board will focus control actions on the most significant sources of salt and boron discharges to the LSJR. Priority for implementation of load allocations to control salt and boron discharges will be given to subareas

with the greatest unit area salt loading (tons per acre per year) to the LSJR (Table IV-6).

The priorities established in Table IV-6 will be reviewed every six years from the effective date of this control program.

Table IV-6: Priorities for implementing load allocations¹

<u>Subarea</u>	<u>Priority</u>
San Joaquin River Upstream of Salt Slough	Low
<u>Grassland</u>	<u>High</u>
Northwest Side	<u>High</u>
East Valley Floor	Low
Merced River	Low
<u>Tuolumne River</u>	<u>Medium</u>
Stanislaus River	Low
Delta Mendota Canal ²	<u>High</u>
¹ Priorities based on the unit area salt lo	pading from each
subarea and mass load from the DMC	
² Delta Mendota Canal is not a subarea	

Time Schedules for Implementation

- 18. The Regional Board will incorporate base load allocations into waste discharge requirements and real-time load allocations into conditions of waiver of waste discharge requirements within two years of the effective date of this control program. Dischargers regulated under a waiver of waste discharge requirements for dischargers participating in a real-time management program for the control of salt and boron in the LSJR shall comply with the waiver conditions within 1 year of the date of adoption of the waiver.
- 19. Existing NPDES point source dischargers are low priority and subject to the compliance schedules for low priority discharges in Table IV-6. New point source discharges that begin discharging after the date of the adoption of this control program must meet waste load allocations upon the commencement of the discharge.

Table IV-7: Schedule for Compliance with the load allocations for salt and boron discharges into the LSJR

	Year to implement ¹								
<u>Priority</u>	Wet through Dry	Critical Year							
	Year Types	<u>Types</u>							
<u>High</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>12</u>							
Medium	<u>12</u>	<u>16</u>							
Low	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>							
¹ number of	¹ number of years from the effective date of this								
control pro	<u>gram</u>								

Table IV-8 Summary of Allocations and Credits

D	ACE	CAI	тт	$\Omega \Lambda D$	ALLOCATIONS	
К	ASE.	SA	, .	A)AI)	ALLUCATIONS	

Base Load Allocations (thousand tons of salt)

		Month / Period											
,				Apr 1 to	<u>Pulse</u>	May 16 to							
Year-type ¹	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	Mar	<u>Apr. 14</u>	Period ²	<u>May 31</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sep	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	<u>Dec</u>
<u>Wet</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>5</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>36</u>
Abv. Norm	<u>44</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>14</u>	0	0	0	<u>44</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>32</u>
Blw. Norm	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>8</u>	0	0	0	<u>38</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Dry</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	<u>25</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Critical</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>23</u>

REAL-TIME SALT LOAD ALLOCATIONS

Nonpoint source dischargers operating under waiver of waste discharge requirements must participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program and meet real-time load allocations. Loading capacity and real-time load allocations are calculated for a monthly time step. The following method is used to calculate real-time load allocations. Flows are expressed in thousand acre-feet per month and loads are expressed in thousand tons per month.

Loading Capacity (LC) in thousand tons per month is calculated by multiplying flow in thousand acre-ft per month by the salinity water quality objective in µS/cm, a unit conversion factor of 0. 8293, and a coefficient of 0.85 to provide a 15 percent margin of safety to account for any uncertainty.

$$LC = Q * WQO * 0.8293 * 0.85$$

where:

<u>LC</u> = total loading capacity in thousand tons per month

<u>Q</u> = flow in the San Joaquin River at the Airport way Bridge near Vernalis in thousand acre-feet per month

WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in μS/cm

The sum of the real-time Load Allocations (LA) for nonpoint source dischargers are equal to a portion of the LSJR's total Loading Capacity (LC) as described by the following equation:

$$LA = LC - L_{BG} - L_{CUA} - L_{GW} - \Sigma WLA$$

Where:

<u>LA</u> = sum of the real-time Load Allocations for nonpoint source dischargers

 \underline{L}_{BG} = loading from background sources

 $\underline{L_{\text{CUA}}}$ = consumptive use allowance

 L_{GW} = loading from groundwater

 $\Sigma \overline{WLA}$ = sum of the waste load allocations for all point sources

Background loading in thousand tons is calculated using the following equation:

$$L_{BG} = Q * 85 \mu S/cm * 0.8293$$

Table IV-8 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

Consumptive use allowance loading is calculated with the following equation:

 $L_{CUA} = Q * 230 \mu S/cm * 0.8293$

Monthly groundwater Loading (L_{GW}) (in thousand tons)

				May							Dec
<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>

Waste load allocations for individual point sources are calculated using the following equation:

WLA=Q_{PS}*WQO*0.8293

where:

WLA = waste load allocation in thousand tons per month

 Q_{PS} = effluent flow to surface waters from the NPDES permitted point source discharger (in thousand acre-feet per month)

WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in μS/cm

APPORTIONING OF SALT LOAD ALLOCATION

An individual discharger or group of dischargers can calculate their load allocation by multiplying the nonpoint source acreage drained by the load allocation per acre.

LA

LA per acre = $\frac{1}{\text{Total nonpoint source acreage}}$

As of 1 August 2003, the total nonpoint source acreage of the LSJR Basin is 1.21-million acres. Nonpoint source land uses include all irrigated agricultural lands (including managed wetlands). Agricultural land includes all areas designated as agricultural or semi-agricultural land uses in the most recent land use surveys published by the California Department of Water Resources. California Department of Water Resources land use surveys are prepared and published on a county-by-county basis. Multiple counties or portions of counties may overlay a given subarea. The land use surveys must be used in combination with a Geographic Information System to quantify the agricultural land use in each subarea. Nonpoint source land areas will be updated every 6 years though an amendment to the Basin Plan if updated California Department of Water Resources land use surveys have been published. The following land use surveys (or portions thereof) are used to quantify agricultural land use in the LSJR watershed.

County	Year of most recent land use survey ¹
Merced	1995
<u>Madera</u>	<u>1995</u>
San Joaquin	<u>1996</u>
<u>Fresno</u>	<u>1994</u>
<u>Stanislaus</u>	<u>1996</u>
1-as of 1 August	2003

Acreage of managed wetlands is based on the boundaries of the federal, private and state owned wetlands that comprise the Grassland Ecological Area in Merced County. Agricultural lands (as designated in DWR land uses surveys) within the Grassland Ecological Area are counted as a agricultural land use and not as managed wetlands. All other lands within the Grassland Ecological Area are considered to be managed wetlands.

CONSUMPTIVE USE ALLOWANCE

In addition to the base load allocations or real-time load allocations shown above, a consumptive use allowance (L_{CUA}) is provided to each discharger:

 L_{CUA} in tons per month = discharge volume in acre-feet per month * 230 μ S/cm * 0.8293

Table IV-8 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

SUPPLY WATER CREDITS

A supply water credit is provided to irrigators in the Grassland and Northwest Side Subareas that receive water from the DMC. This DMC supply water credit is equal to 50 percent of the added salt load, in excess of background, delivered to Grassland and Northwest Side subareas. The following fixed DMC supply water credits apply to dischargers operating under base load allocations:

DMC supply water credits (thousand tons)

		Month / Period											
37 4 1					Pulse	May 16 to				_			_
Year-type ¹	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	Mar		Period ²	<u>May 31</u>	<u>Jun</u>		<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>
NORTHWEST SIDE SUBAREA													
<u>Wet</u>	0.0	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.6</u>	1.0	0.9	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Abv. Norm	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u>0.8</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.3</u>	2.3	2.6	1.2	0.8	0.3	<u>0.0</u>
Blw. Norm	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>4.2</u>	3.3	<u>2.5</u>	<u>1.9</u>	0.8	<u>0.0</u>
<u>Dry</u>	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	<u>0.1</u>	<u>0.3</u>	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	<u>0.0</u>
<u>Critical</u>	0.0	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
				G	RASSLA	ND SUBARI	ΞA						
Wet	<u>2.1</u>	<u>5.9</u>	13.9	<u>7.8</u>	<u>17.3</u>	<u>8.8</u>	<u>22.6</u>	20.8	23.2	17.2	16.0	10.4	<u>3.7</u>
Abv. Norm	1.2	<u>4.8</u>	<u>9.4</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>24.7</u>	<u>13.6</u>	<u>27.6</u>	20.3	24.5	23.9	16.6	<u>7.5</u>	<u>2.6</u>
Blw. Norm	1.4	<u>5.7</u>	13.8	<u>12.5</u>	<u>29.5</u>	<u>15.9</u>	32.6	<u>29.2</u>	<u>29.8</u>	<u>32.9</u>	<u>25.3</u>	12.8	<u>4.5</u>
<u>Dry</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>15.9</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>23.4</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>22.9</u>	23.1	24.0	28.0	23.7	13.0	<u>5.3</u>
<u>Critical</u>	3.3	<u>8.9</u>	17.2	<u>10.2</u>	<u>24.1</u>	<u>13.3</u>	33.3	32.5	31.8	27.5	28.7	13.6	<u>5.9</u>

The following method is used to calculate real-time DMC supply water credits in thousand tons per month and applies to dischargers operating under real-time load allocations.

Real-time CVP Supply Water Credit = $Q_{CVP} * (C_{CVP} - C_{BG}) * 0.8293*0.5$

Where:

 \underline{Q}_{CVP} = volume of water delivered from CVP in thousand acre-feet per month³

 $\underline{C_{CVP}}$ = electrical conductivity of water delivered from CVP in μ S/cm³

 $\overline{C_{BG}}$ = background electrical conductivity of 85 μ S/cm

For irrigators in the Northwest Side Subarea an additional supply water credit is provided to account for salts contained in supply water diverted directly from the LSJR (LSJR diversion water credit). The LSJR diversion credit is equal to 50 percent of the added salt load (in excess of background) in supply water diverted from the San Joaquin River between the confluence of the Merced River and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis. The following fixed LSJR supply water credits apply to dischargers operating under base load allocations:

LSJR supply water credits (thousand tons)

		Month / Period											
				Apr 1 to	<u>Pulse</u>	May 16 to							
Year-type ¹	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	Mar	<u>Apr. 14</u>	Period ²	<u>May 31</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	<u>Dec</u>
<u>Wet</u>	0.0	0.6	<u>9.2</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>9.4</u>	<u>11.0</u>	17.2	23.5	<u>20.5</u>	<u>9.5</u>	1.3	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Abv. Norm	0.0	0.8	<u>5.0</u>	<u>7.4</u>	<u>12.3</u>	<u>11.2</u>	21.8	<u>24.9</u>	20.3	10.7	<u>1.5</u>	0	<u>0</u>
Blw. Norm	0.0	0.6	<u>5.5</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>14.4</u>	<u>13.4</u>	27.3	33.1	24.9	13.9	2.4	0	<u>0</u>
<u>Dry</u>	0.0	0.7	<u>5.3</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>10.7</u>	27.5	34.0	20.3	11.4	2.4	0	0
Critical	0.0	0.8	<u>4.5</u>	<u>5.1</u>	<u>14.8</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>25.2</u>	<u>28.5</u>	22.3	<u>8.7</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Table IV-8 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

The following method is used to calculate Real-time LSJR supply water credits in thousand tons per month and applies to dischargers operating under real-time load allocations.

Real-time LSJR Supply Water Credit = $Q_{LSJR DIV} * (C_{LSJR DIV} - C_{BG}) * 0.8293 * 0.5$

Where:

 $\underline{Q_{LSJR DIV}}$ = volume of water diverted from LSJR between the Merced River Confluence and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in thousand acre-feet per month⁴

C_{LSJR DIV} =electrical conductivity of water diverted from the LSJR in µS/cm⁴

 \underline{C}_{BG} = background electrical conductivity of 85 μ S/cm

SUPPLY WATER ALLOCATIONS

The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation DMC load allocation (LA_{DMC}) is equal to the volume of water delivered from the DMC (Q_{DMC}) to the Grassland and Northwest side Subareas at a background Sierra Nevada quality of 85 μ S/cm.

 $LA_{DMC} = Q_{DMC} * 85 \mu S/cm * 0.8293$

DILUTION FLOW ALLOCATIONS

Entities providing dilution flows obtain an allocation equal to the salt load assimilative capacity provided by this flow, calculated as follows:

 $\underline{A}_{dil} = \underline{Q}_{dil} * (\underline{C}_{dil} - \underline{WQO}) * 0.8293$

Where:

 \underline{A}_{dil} = dilution flow allocation in thousand tons of salt per month

 Q_{dil} = dilution flow volume in thousand acre-feet per month

 $\underline{C_{dil}}$ = dilution flow electrical conductivity in μ S/cm

WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in μ S/cm

¹ The water year classification will be established using the best available estimate of the 60-20-20 San Joaquin Valley water year hydrologic classification (as defined in Footnote 17 for Table 3 in the State Water Resources Control Board's *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*, May 1995) at the 75% exceedance level using data from the Department of Water Resources Bulletin 120 series. The previous water year's classification will apply until an estimate is made of the current water year.

² Pulse period runs from 4/15-5/15. Period and distribution of base load allocation and supply water credits between April 1 and May 31 may change based on scheduling of pulse flow as specified in State Water Board Water Rights Decision 1641. Total base load allocation for April 1 through May 31 does not change but will be redistributed based on any changes in the timing of the pulse period

³Methods used to measure and report the volume and electrical conductivity of water delivered from the CVP to irrigated lands must be approved by the Regional Board as part of the waiver conditions required to participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program

⁴ Methods used to measure and report the volume and electrical conductivity of water diverted from the SJR between the confluence of the Merced and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis must be approved by the Regional Board as part of the waiver conditions required to participate in a Regional Board approved real-time management program

Under the Chapter IV heading: "Estimated Costs of Agricultural Water Quality Control Programs and Potential Sources of Financing" add new subheading and items on page IV-38:

Lower San Joaquin River Salt and Boron Control Program

The estimates of capital and operational costs to implement drainage controls needed to achieve the salt and boron water quality objectives at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis range from 27 to 38 million dollars per year (2003 dollars).

Potential funding sources include:

- 1. Those identified in the San Joaquin River
 Subsurface Agricultural Drainage Program
 and the Pesticide Control Program.
- 2. <u>Annual fees for waste discharge requirements.</u>

In Appendix: add a new Appendix 41 titled "San Joaquin Area Subarea Descriptions"

This proposed language can be found in Appendix 8 of the staff report